IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O.O.C.J.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1746 OF 2007

IN

APPEAL (L) NO.143 OF 2007

AND

APPEAL (L) NO.143 OF 2007

...

Association of Engineering
Workers ...Appellants

v/s.

Permanant Magnets Ltd. & Anr. ...Respondents

...

Mr.N.M.Ganguli for the Appellants.

Mr.M.S.Naik for the Respondents.

...

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH &

J.H.BHATIA, JJ.

DATED: 23RD AUGUST, 2007

P.C.:

Assuming that there is sufficient cause shown for condonation of delay, we heard the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant on merits of the Appeal.

2. The Only grievance made by the learned Counsel appearing for the Appellant is that the Respondent/employer is not making any recruitments in Bombay. He is shifting his machineries some where else and will be making recruitments, ignoring the seniority list which has been directed to be prepared and maintained by the learned single Judge by the impugned order.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent states that the apprehension expressed by the Appellant is unfounded. Directions issued by the learned single Judge in the impugned order are binding on the Respondent and those are applicable to the recruitments wherever made by the Respondent/employer.

4. In view of this clarification made by the Respondent, in our opinion, there is no reason to interfere with the order. Appeal and motion are, therefore, disposed of.

(D.K.DESHMUKH, J.)

(J.H.BHATIA, J.)